

Java Threads & Concurrency

Online Reference

- <http://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/essential/concurrency/>

Threads

- Threads are Objects too!
- <http://docs.oracle.com/javase/7/docs/api/java/lang/Thread.html>
- You can also use Executors, but more on those later.

Thread Objects

```
public class HelloThread extends Thread {  
  
    public void run() {  
        System.out.println("Hello from a thread!");  
    }  
  
    public static void main(String args[]) {  
        (new HelloThread()).start();  
    }  
  
}
```

- Thread has an empty `run ()` method, you can override it
- Starting the thread will run the `run ()` method and immediately return -- it does not block like other methods

The Runnable Interface

```
public class HelloRunnable implements Runnable {  
  
    public void run() {  
        System.out.println("Hello from a thread!");  
    }  
  
    public static void main(String args[]) {  
        (new Thread(new HelloRunnable())).start();  
    }  
  
}
```

- Similar to a Thread object, except an interface
- Use it to create new threads that need to extend another class

Pausing a Thread

```
public class SleepMessages {
    public static void main(String args[]) throws InterruptedException {
        String importantInfo[] = {
            "Mares eat oats",
            "Does eat oats",
            "Little lambs eat ivy",
            "A kid will eat ivy too"
        };

        for (int i = 0; i < importantInfo.length; i++) {
            //Pause for 4 seconds
            Thread.sleep(4000);
            //Print a message
            System.out.println(importantInfo[i]);
        }
    }
}
```

- The `sleep` method pauses a thread for (roughly -- OS dependent) that many milliseconds
- If another thread interrupts a sleeping thread, the `sleep` method will throw an `InterruptedException`

Interrupting a Thread

```
for (int i = 0; i < importantInfo.length; i++) {  
    //Pause for 4 seconds  
    try {  
        Thread.sleep(4000);  
    } catch (InterruptedException e) {  
        //We've been interrupted: no more messages.  
        return;  
    }  
    //Print a message  
    System.out.println(importantInfo[i]);  
}
```

- Will print a message every four seconds until interrupted or there are no more messages

Interrupting a Thread 2

```
for (int i = 0; i < inputs.length; i++) {  
    heavyCrunch(inputs[i]);  
    if (Thread.interrupted()) {  
        //We've been interrupted: no more crunching.  
        return;  
    }  
}
```

- What if your methods don't throw `InterruptedException`?
- `Thread.interrupted()` returns `true` if the current thread has been interrupted. A subsequent call to `Thread.interrupted()` will return `false` unless the thread was interrupted again.
- It may be better to throw a new `InterruptedException` instead of returning.

“Joining” a Thread

- `t.join()`; will wait for the thread `t` to complete
- `t.join(millis)`; will wait at most `millis` ms (again roughly) for `t` to complete
- if interrupted, will throw an `InterruptedException`

Synchronization

- Threads communicate by sharing access to fields and methods of objects they reference
- This can lead to some big problems

Thread Interference

```
class Counter {  
    private int c = 0;  
  
    public void increment() { c++; }  
    public void decrement() { c--; }  
  
    public int value() {  
        return c;  
    }  
}
```

the c++ statement:

retrieve c
increment c
store value

the c-- statement:

retrieve c
decrement c
store value

Thread Interference 2

What if two threads use the same Counter?

Thread A calls increment, Thread B calls decrement

1. Thread A: retrieve c (A's c == 0)
2. Thread B: retrieve c (B's c == 0)
3. Thread A: increment c (A's c = 1)
4. Thread B: decrement c (B's c = -1)
5. Thread A: store c (stores 1)
6. Thread B: store c (stores -1)

Thread Interference 3

- What went wrong?
- Performing operations on the same memory with multiple threads at the same time can cause some very nasty bugs

Memory Consistency

Thread A and B share a reference to counter:

```
int counter = 0;
```

Thread A increments counter:

```
counter++;
```

After, B prints out counter:

```
System.out.println(counter);
```

B may print out 0!

Due to Threading implementations and hardware, A and B may not necessarily be working on the same memory.

Happens-Before

Happens-Before relationships guarantee some statements happen before others

`Thread.join()` and `Thread.start()` are two examples

More Reading:

<http://java.sun.com/javase/7/docs/api/java/util/concurrent/package-summary.html#MemoryVisibility>

Synchronized Methods

```
public class SynchronizedCounter {
    private int c = 0;

    public synchronized void increment() { c++; }
    public synchronized void decrement() { c--; }

    public synchronized int value() {
        return c;
    }
}
```

- It is not possible for threads to interleave/interfere on a synchronized method -- only one thread may be executing the code synchronized on an object at a time, others will wait
- Synchronized methods establish *happens-before* relationships on subsequent method invocations
- Having a synchronized method is like wrapping a mutex around the method.
- Constructors cannot be synchronized -- so be careful

Synchronized Blocks

```
public void addName(String name) {  
    synchronized( name ) {  
        lastName = name;  
        nameCount++;  
    }  
    nameList.add(name);  
}
```

- Will only synchronize the block on this

Synchronized Blocks 2

```
public class MsLunch {
    private long c1 = 0, c2 = 0;
    private Object lock1 = new Object();
    private Object lock2 = new Object();
    public void inc1() {
        synchronized(lock1) { c1++; }
    }
    public void inc2() {
        synchronized(lock2) { c2++; }
    }
}
```

- Allows fine grained synchronization
- Be careful: if c1 and c2 were objects that shared references to other objects, they could interleave in other methods

Reentrant Synchronization

- Using `synchronized` gives threads a lock on a section of code
- A thread cannot execute code another thread has a lock on
- A thread **can** get a lock on code it already has a lock on, this is *reentrant synchronization*
- Without this, it would be much easier to create deadlock (ex., a `synchronized` method calls itself)

Atomic Accesses

- An atomic action happens all at once (therefore they can't interleave)
- Reads and write for reference variables and primitive (except `long` and `double`) are atomic
- variables declared `volatile` also have atomic read and write (even `long` or `double`)
- writing to a `volatile` variable also sets up *happens-before* dependencies to subsequent reads of that variable

Liveness

- A concurrent applications ability to execute in a timely manner (or at all) is its 'liveness'
- Deadlock, starvation and livelock are concurrent programming issues which prevent liveness

Deadlock

```
public class Deadlock {
    static class Friend {
        private final String name;
        public Friend(String name) { this.name = name; }
        public String getName() { return this.name; }

        public synchronized void bow(Friend bower) {
            System.out.format("%s: %s has bowed to me!%n", this.name, bower.getName());
            bower.bowBack(this);
        }
        public synchronized void bowBack(Friend bower) {
            System.out.format("%s: %s has bowed back to me!%n", this.name, bower.getName());
        }
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        final Friend alphonse = new Friend("Alphonse");
        final Friend gaston = new Friend("Gaston");
        new Thread(new Runnable() {
            public void run() { alphonse.bow(gaston); }
        }).start();
        new Thread(new Runnable() {
            public void run() { gaston.bow(alphonse); }
        }).start();
    }
}
```

Deadlock 2

- Deadlock can happen if they both enter bow, then attempt to invoke bowback on each other.
- Both have a lock (from bow), and can't obtain each others lock

Starvation

- Thread A obtains a lock another Thread B needs
- Thread A never releases lock, or computes for very long amounts of time with lock
- Thread B cannot progress (or progresses very slowly)

Livelock

- Threads can act in response to each other (via `InterruptedException`, for example)
- Think of two people trying to pass each other in a hall.
- Thread A moves left and Thread B moves right (they still block each other)
- Thread A moves right and Thread B moves left (they still block each other)
- Both are still active, but neither can progress

Guarded Blocks

```
public void guardedJoy() {  
    //Simple loop guard. Wastes processor time. Don't do this!  
    while(!joy) {}  
    System.out.println("Joy has been achieved!");  
}
```

- What if you want to wait for a field to be changed?

Guarded Blocks 2

```
public synchronized guardedJoy() {  
    //This guard only loops once for each special event, which may not  
    //be the event we're waiting for.  
    while(!joy) {  
        try {  
            wait();  
        } catch (InterruptedException e) {}  
    }  
    System.out.println("Joy and efficiency have been achieved!");  
}
```

- Be careful -- the `InterruptedException` might not be the one you were looking for -- put `wait` in a loop
- Why is `guardedJoy` synchronized? Can only call `wait()` when there is a lock (`wait()` releases the lock and suspends the thread)

Guarded Blocks 3

```
public synchronized notifyJoy() {  
    joy = true;  
    notifyAll();  
}
```

- `notifyAll()` notifies all threads waiting on a lock (and the scheduler decides which will get it next)
- `notify()` only notifies a single thread

Immutable Objects

- Immutable objects are objects that cannot change their state
- Very useful in concurrent programming -- since they cannot change state they cannot suffer from thread interference or have an inconsistent state

Immutable Objects 2

- It's easy to make an object immutable in Java
- make all fields `final` (they can't be modified) and `private` (they can't be accessed)
- instantiate all fields within the constructor
- provide `get` methods for users to access copies of fields (primitives are naturally call-by-value)